

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Samuel R. Miller (SBN 66871) srmiller@sidley.com Ryan M. Sandrock (SBN 251781) SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP 555 California Street, 20th Floor San Francisco, California 94104 Telephone: (415) 772-1200 Facsimile: (415) 772-7400 T. Robert Scarborough (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i> To Be Subntscarborough@sidley.com SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP 1 South Dearborn Chicago, Illinois 60604 Telephone: (312) 853-7000 Facsimile: (312) 853-7036 Attorneys For Defendant Beiersdorf, Inc.		
12	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT	
13	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
14	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
15	PATRICIA TERRY, MARQUINITA TERRY, and TAANYKA TERRY,) Case No. 11:05244 JCS	
16	Plaintiffs,)) STIPULATION AND	
17	VS.	(PROPOSED) ORDER PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 6-1 REGARDING TIME	
18	BEIERSDORF, INC., a Delaware Corporation	TO ANSWER OR OTHERWISE RESPOND	
19	and DOES 1-10, inclusive)	
20	Defendant))	
21		,	
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	1		

	11			
1	WHEREAS Plaintiffs served the above-captioned lawsuit on Defendant Beiersdorf, Inc.			
2	("Beiersdorf" or "Defendant") on November 3, 2011 (the "Complaint");			
3	WHEREAS the time for Defendant to answer or otherwise respond to the Complaint is			
4	currently November 28, 2011;			
5	WHEREAS Plaintiffs and Defendant have reached an agreement, pursuant to Civil L.R. 6-1			
6	to extend the time within which Defendant must answer or otherwise respond to the Complaint to			
7	January 11, 2012.			
8	NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREE	BY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and among the		
9	undersigned counsel, on behalf of their resp	undersigned counsel, on behalf of their respective clients, that Defendant will have until January 11		
10	2012 to answer or otherwise respond to the Complaint.			
11	1			
12	IT IS SO STIPULATED:			
13	Dated: November 18, 2011	KIRTLAND & PACKARD LLP		
14	4			
15	5	By: /s/ Behram V. Parekh		
16	5	BEHRAM V. PAREKH		
17	7	Attorneys for Plaintiffs		
18				
19	Dated. November 18, 2011	SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP		
20				
21		By: /s/ Samuel R. Miller		
22		SAMUEL R. MILLER		
23		Attorneys for Beiersdorff		
24	Pursuant to General Order 45, Part X-B, the filer attests that concurrence in the filing of this			
25	documents has been obtained from Behram V. Parekh and Samuel R. Miller.			
26				
27		2		
28		ANT TO LOCAL RULE 6-1 REGARDING TIME TO ANSWER OR		

[PROPOSED] ORDER			TES DISTRICT
PURSU	ANT TO STIP	ULATION, IT IS S	O ORDERED.
Dated: _	Nov. 22	, 2011	E NUMBER
			The Honord United State Judge Joseph C. Spero
			18/
			DISTRICT OF CE
			3